# Effects of Dietary Zeolite Supplementation on Milk Yield, Milk Composition, Digestion Coefficients and Nutritive Values in Holsten Cows

### Doaa, E. Saad<sup>\*</sup>; A. A. Osman and S. A. Soliman

Department of Animal Production, Faculty of Agriculture, Suez Canal University

*Received:* 17/2/2021

Abstract: The purpose of this study was to determine the effects of Zeolite inclusion on lactating dairy cow's ration on milk yield, milk composition as well as digestion coefficients, nutritive values, blood urea, alkaline phosphatase, total protein, and some liver enzymes. The experimental work lasted for two months. Seventy-two Holstein Frisian cows with average weight  $650 \pm 5$  kg were randomly divided into three groups (24 cows each). Every group was fed one of three rations as follows: in ration-1 group (control), cows were fed on a Total Mixed Ration (TMR) without any Zeolite addition, while rations-2 and -3 were supplemented with 80 and 140 g. Zeolite/cow/day, resp. The TMR ration consisted of concentrate feed mixture (CFM), corn silage and clover hay. Milk production was recorded weekly, and evaluated for fat, protein and lactose values and percentages. Fresh feces samples were collected for five consecutive days and dried in oven at 65°C for 24 hrs., then pooled together, and stored in plastic bags and representative samples were taken for chemical analysis. Adding Zeolite (80 G. Cow/Day) Significantly (P< 0.05) Increased Organic Matter (OM), Crud Protein (CP), Crud Fiber (CF), Ether Extract (EE), Nitrogen Free Extract (NFE), Digestibility, Total Digestible Nutrients (TDN) and Digestible Crud Protein (DCP). Milk protein, fat and lactose percentages were insignificantly and slightly affected by Zeolite additions. Furthermore, milk yield, Fat Corrected Milk (FCM), fat, protein, and lactose (Kg) of lactating cows was increased by adding Zeolite to basal ration. Generally, all values of blood urea, Alkaline phosphatase, AST and ALT enzymes in all groups in this study displayed approximately normal levels and did not seem to alter with Zeolite supplementation.

Keywords: Zeolite, lactating cows, milk yield, milk composition, AST and ALT enzymes

#### INTRODUCTION

The increase in the genetic potential of dairy cows has led to the massive use of concentrates in their ration to meet their escalating requirements. The increase in using concentrates in total mixed ration (TMR) has caused several problems such as decreased milk fat, lower fiber digestibility, and increased herd health problems related to acid-base disturbances. In order to alleviate or prevent metabolic disorders that are associated with the consumption of high concentrate diets by dairy cows, the inclusion of dietary buffers (e.g. sodium bicarbonate) has become a common and accepted practice. Although these mineral additives have received widespread usage, their inclusion into the diet is expensive for the producer. Therefore, some experiments have been conducted to using cheaper minerals that exhibit the same mode of action such as clay Zeolites (Khachlouf et al., 2018). Zeolites a crystalline, hydrated aluminosilicates, and having infinite three-dimensional structures, were discovered in the year 1756. They are further characterized by an ability to lose and gain water reversibly and to exchange constituent cations without major change of structure and the cations are usually exchangeable at low temperature below 100°C (Lijima, 1980). The objective of this work was to investigate the effects of adding Zeolite in the total mixed rations (TMR) on performance of high yielding lactating cows.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

Experiments were conducted at milk station 2, El-Salhia Farm and Laboratories of Animal Production Department at Faculty of Agriculture, Suez Canal University (Ismailia Governorate), Egypt. Seventy-two

\*Corresponding author e-mail: doaaessam155@gmail.com

Holstein Frisian cows with average weight 650±5 kg were randomly divided into three groups (24 cows each). Every group was fed one of three rations as follows: in ration-1 group (control), cows were fed on a Total Mixed Ration (TMR) without any Zeolite addition, while rations-2 and -3 were supplemented with 80 and 140 g. Zeolite/cow/day, resp. The TMR ration consisted of concentrate feed mixture, corn silage and clover hay. Milk production was recorded, and evaluated for fat, protein and lactose values and percentages. The feed requirements were calculated according to NRC (2001). TMR was daily offered to the animals in two equal portions at 8 am and 4 pm. Drinking water was available at all times. The experiment lasted for two months. Milk production was recorded weekly, and evaluated for fat, protein and lactose values and percentages. Fresh feces samples were collected for five days and dried in oven at 65°C for 24 h and mixed, then representative samples were taken. Chemical composition of representative samples was determined according to AOAC (1995) procedures. The digestibility was carried out by acid insoluble ash (AIA) as a natural marker according to Van Keulen and Young (1977) for determination DM in feces.

Procedures of determination acid-insoluble Ash (AIA):A 5 g sample (feed or feces) was placed in ash crucible, then burned for 6 hours at 600° C. Ash was transferred to a beaker (500 ml) then 100 ml of 2 N HCl were added and boiled for 5 minutes then filtered through Whatman 541 filter paper and washed with hot distilled water. Filter paper was transferred back into crucible then burned for 6 hours at 600° C. Crucible was placed in a desiccator and weighed for determination of acid insoluble ash (AIA) according to the equation:

% acid insoluble ash (AIA) =  $\frac{\text{(weight of crucible with Ash - weight of empty crucible)}}{\text{Sample dry weight}} \times 100$ 

Then average daily dried feces were calculated according to the equation:

Average daily dried feces =  $\frac{\text{Average daily dried feed intake } \times \% \text{ AIA in feed}}{2}$ 

% AIA in feces

Or the dry matter digestibility% was calculated according to the equation:

Dry matter (DM) digestibility =  $\frac{\% \text{ AIA in feces} - \% \text{ AIA in feed}}{\% \text{ AIA in feces}} \times 100$ 

Then average dried feces were calculated as follows: Average daily dried feces = (averaged daily dried feed intake – (averaged daily dried feed intake  $\times$  DM digestibility, %) From average daily dried feed intake and average daily dried feces, digestion coefficients of OM, CP, CF and E.E were calculated, then NFE was calculated by difference. The Chemical composition of total mixed rations (TMR) as follows:

Table (	1):	Chemical	composi	tion o	of total	mixed	rations	(TMR)	) on DM	basis
---------	-----	----------	---------	--------	----------	-------	---------	-------	---------	-------

Items	Ration-1 (control)	Ration-2	Ration-3	
Dry Matter (DM)%	56.38	56.17	56.07	
Organic Matter (OM)	93.44	93.19	89.23	
Crude Protein (CP)	8.14	8.66	8.12	
Ether Extract (EE)	5.21	5.35	5.72	
Crude Fiber (CF)	11.44	12.88	12.28	
Nitrogen Free Extract (NFE)	68.64	66.30	63.11	
Ash	6.54	6.81	10.77	

Ration-1: concentrate feed mixture (CFM) + corn silage + berseem hay

Ration-2: CFM + corn silage + berseem hay + 80 g. Zeolite/cow/day

Ration-3: CFM + corn silage + berseem hay + 140 g. Zeolite/cow/day

The chemical composition of Zeolite is shown in Table (2) and is nearly similar with data obtained by Katsoulos *et al.* (2006).

#### Statistical analysis:

All data were subjected to statistical analysis by using SPSS 26 (2020). Mean differences were compared using Duncan's Multiple Range test (Duncan, 1955).

 $Y_{ij} = \mu + T_i + e_{ij}$ 

 Table (2): Percentages of elements proximate analysis

 of Zeolite on Dry Matter (DM) basis

Element	%
Aluminum (Al)	8.41
Silica (Si)	55.79
Potassium (K)	11.05
Calcium (Ca)	6.81
Thallium (Ti)	1.04
Manganese (Mn)	0.34
Iron (Fe)	15.22
Zink (Zn)	0.07
Rubidium (Rb)	0.10
Strontium (Sr)	0.16
Yttrium (Y)	0.12
Zirconium (Zr)	0.62
Niobium (Nb)	0.11
Cadmium (Cd)	0.09

The analysis was carried out in Center Laboratory of Elemental and Isotopic Analysis, Atomic Energy Authority-Nuclear Research Center, Cairo, Egypt. The mathematical model was as follows:

### Where:

 $Y_{ii}$  = Individual observation.

 $\mu$  = The overall mean for the trial under consideration.

 $T_i$  = The effect of the i<sup>th</sup> treatment.

 $e_{ij}$  = Random residual error.

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

As shown from Table (3), the organic matter (OM) digestibility of rations supplemented with Zeolite was significantly ( $P \le 0.05$ ) higher than that in controls. The CP and EE digestibility significantly ( $P \le 0.05$ ) increased in rations-2 and -3 than that in controls. These results agreed with Forouzani *et al.* (2004). The CF digestibility of rations-2 and -3 were significantly ( $P \le 0.05$ ) higher than that in controls. The NFE digestibility of rations 2 was significantly ( $P \le 0.05$ ) higher than that in controls. The total digestible nutrients (TDN) of rations-2 and -3 was significantly ( $P \le 0.05$ ) higher than that in controls.

Items	Ration1	Ration2	Ration3				
Digestion coefficients, %							
ОМ	75.63±0.26°	82.70±0.11 <sup>a</sup>	80.58±0.33 <sup>b</sup>				
СР	71.25±0.59°	75.72±0.45 <sup>a</sup>	$71.34 \pm 1.02^{b}$				
CF	$51.14 \pm 0.45^{b}$	$65.27 \pm 0.70^{a}$	59.96±0.79 <sup>a</sup>				
EE	$33.25 \pm 0.07^{\circ}$	38.07±0.96 <sup>a</sup>	$38.67 \pm 0.89^{b}$				
NFE	$72.52 \pm 0.57^{b}$	81.38±0.16 <sup>a</sup>	$79.57 \pm 0.32^{b}$				
Nutritive values, %							
TDN	65.34±0.43°	73.50±0.28 <sup>a</sup>	$68.34 \pm 0.30^{b}$				
DCP	$5.81 \pm 0.07^{b}$	6.56±0.12 <sup>a</sup>	5.79±0.15 <sup>b</sup>				

 Table (3): Digestion coefficients, nutritive values, and blood constituents of experimental total mixed rations (TMR) with or without Zeolite additives by lactating cows

<sup>a,b,c</sup> means in the same row with different superscripts are significantly different (P<0.05).

Ration-1: CFM + corn silage + berseem hay,

Ration-2: CFM + corn silage + berseem hay + 80g Zeolite/cow/day and

Ration-3: CFM + corn silage + berseem hay + 140g Zeolite/cow/day

As shown from Table (4), zeolite was added by 80 and 140 g/cow/day and insignificantly increased milk, protein, fat, and lactose yields and FCM. Differences of milk fat, protein, and lactose percentages among rations 1 (control), ration-2 and ration-3 were not significant. These results agreed with those obtained by Khachlouf *et al.* (2019). The yield of milk fat of ration-2 was higher than that in control, protein yield of rations 2 was higher than other those in other rations.

As shown from Table (4), feed efficiency and feed conversion of rations-2 and -3 were better than that in controls. These results were in agreement with Nadziakiewicza *et al.* (2019) who mentioned that feed conversion was better with clay addition. The economic

efficiency of rations2 and 3 was higher than that in controls.

Table (5) revealed that all values of blood urea, alkaline phosphatase, AST and ALT enzymes in all groups were within the normal levels as explained by Jackson and Cockcroft (2002).

#### CONCLUSIONS

From the results obtained in this study it could be concluded that supplementing Zeolite (80 or 140 g./cow/day) in the rations of high yielding lactating cows led to improve digestion coefficients, feed conversion, milk production and fat yields.

Table (4): Milk yield, FCM and Milk       Image: Compare the second	components of lactating	cows fed experimental	total mixed rations	(TMR) with
or without Zeolite additive				

Items	Ration-1	Ration-2	Ration-3
Milk yield (kg/cow/day)	29.76±1.32	30.59±0.12	31.12±1.12
Difference than that in controls, %	-	+2.79	+4.57
milk yield % from control	100	102.79	104.57
3.5% FCM yield (kg/cow /day)	30.09±0.95	31.02±0.31	31.12±0.5
Fat%*	3.57±0.24	3.58±0.12	3.50±0.28
Protein %*	2.67±0.21	2.60±0.24	2.87±0.12
Lactose%*	3.84±0.03	3.80±0.05	3.72±0.05
Fat yield(kg)	1.06	1.10	1.09
Protein yield(kg)	0.79	0.79	0.89
Lactose yield(kg)	1.14	1.16	1.16
Feed efficiency (Kg milk/Kg DM)	1.43	1.46	1.48
Feed conversion (Kg DM/Kg milk	0. 70	0.68	0.67
Economic efficiency	2.31	2.34	2.36

Table (5): Blood urea (mg/dl), total protein (g/dl), alkaline phosphatase (units/l) and liver enzymes (units/l) of lactating cows fed experimental total mixed rations (TMR) with or without Zeolite and Zeolite additives						
Item		Ration-1	Ration-2	Ration-3	Normal* range	
II (		20:0.50	25:0.00	20:0.50	( )7	

Item	Ration-1	Ration-2	Kation-5	Normal" range
Urea (mg/dl)	28±0.58	25±0.89	29±0.58	6-27
Total protein (g/dl)	8.5±0.73	8.3±0.20	7.9±0.18	5.7-8.1
Alkaline phosphatase (units/l)	136±2.60	96±1.76	138±2.91	0-500
ALT (units/l)	23±0.89	29±1.45	32±1.20	11-40
AST (units/l)	84±1.73	90±2.08	120±2.08	78-132

\* normal range according to Jackson and Cockcroft (2002).

#### REFERENCES

- Abdel-Wahhab, M. A., S. A. Nada and F. A. Khalil (2002). Physiological and toxicological responses in rats fed aflatoxin-contaminated diet with or without sorbent materials. Animal Feed Science and Technology, 97(3-4): 209-219.
- AOAC (1995). Association of Official Analytical Chemists) Official Methods of Analysis, 16<sup>th</sup> ED. Washington, D.C, USA.
- Colella, C. (2011). A critical reconsideration of biomedical and veterinary applications of natural Zeolites. Clay miner, 46: 295-309.
- Duncan, D. B. (1955). Multiple range and multiple F-test. Biometrics, 11: 1-42.
- Forouzani, R., E. Rowghani and M. J. Zamiri (2004). The effect of Zeolite on digestibility and feedlot performance of Mehraban male lambs given a diet containing urea-treated maize silage. Animal Science, 78(1): 179-184.
- Iijima, A. (1980). Geology of natural Zeolites and zeolitic rocks. Pure and Applied Chemistry, 52(9): 2115-2130.
- Jackson, G. G. and D. Cockcroft (2002). Clinical Examination of Farm Animals, Blackwell Science Ltd, Oxford, UK.
- Katsoulos, P. D., N. Panousis, N. Roubies, E. Christaki, G. Arsenos and H. Karatzias (2006). Effects of long-term feeding of a diet supplemented with clinoptilolite to dairy cows on the incidence of ketosis, milk yield and liver function. Veterinary record, 159(13): 415-418.
- Khachlouf, K., H. Hamed, R. Gdoura and A. Gargouri (2018). Effects of Zeolite supplementation on

dairy cow production and ruminal parameters-a review. Annals of Animal Science, 18(4): 857-877.

- Khachlouf, K., H. Hamed, R. Gdoura and A. Gargouri (2019). Effects of dietary Zeolite supplementation on milk yield and composition and blood minerals status in lactating dairy cows. Journal of Applied Animal Research, 47(1): 54-62.
- Kondo, K., S. Fujishiro, F. Suzuki, T. Taga, H. Morinaga, B. Wagai and T. Kondo (1969). Effect of Zeolites on calf growth. Chikisun No Kenikyu, 23: 987-988.
- Laurino, C. and B. Palmieri (2015). Zeolite:"the magic stone"; main nutritional, environmental, experimental and clinical fields of application. Nutricion hospitalaria, 32(2): 573-581.
- Nadziakiewicza, M., S. Kehoe and P. Micek (2019). Physico-chemical properties of clay minerals and their use as a health promoting feed additive. Animals, 9(10): 714.
- National Research Council (NRC) (2001). Nutrient Requirements of Dairy Cattle. 7<sup>th</sup> Rev. Ed. National Academy of Sciences, Washington, D.C.
- SPSS (2011). SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 20.0. Armonk, NY, USA: IBM Corp.
- Van Keulen, J. Y. B. A. and B. A. Young (1977). Evaluation of acid-insoluble ash as a natural marker in ruminant digestibility studies. Journal of Animal Science, 44(2): 282-287.

## تأثير إضافة الزيوليت على محصول اللبن وتركيب اللبن و معامل الهضم و القيم الغذائية في أبقار الهولستين

## **دعاء عصام سعد \_ أحمد احمد عثمان \_ سليمان احمد سليمان** قسم الإنتاج الحيواني والثروة السمكية - كلية الزراعة - جامعة قناة السويس

الغرض من إجراء هذه الدراسة هو معرفة تأثير إضافة الزيوليت في علائق أبقار اللبن علي محصول اللبن وتركيب اللبن وكذلك معامل الهولستين تزن في المذائية وأيضا تركيزات اليوريا وإنزيم الفوسفاتيز القاعدي و البروتين الكلي وإنزيمات الكبد في سيرم الدم. ٧٢ بقرة من أبقار الهولستين تزن في المتوسط ٢٠ كجم تم توزيعهم عشوائيا علي ثلاث مجموعات بحيث احتوت كل مجموعة علي ٢٤ بقرة و تم تغذيتهم كالتلي: المجموعة الأولي تناولت علف كنترول بدون إضافات والمجموعة الثانية تناولت العلف إضافة ٢٠ جرام زيوليت لكل بقرة و تم تغذيتهم كالتلي: الماجموعة الأولي تناولت علف كنترول بدون إضافات والمجموعة الثانية تناولت العلف إضافة ٢٠ جرام زيوليت لكل بقرة و المجموعة الثانية تناولت العلف إضافة ٢٠ جرام زيوليت لكل بقرة في اليوم و المجموعة الثالثة تناولت العلف مع إضافة ٢٠ اجرام زيوليت لكل بقرة في اليوم. استمرت التجربة لمدة شهرين. تم تغذية الأبقار علي العرون إضافة والمجموعة الثانية تناولت العلف إضافة ٢٠ الراس علي قلام مكون من الثالثة تناولت العلف مع إضافة ٢٠ اجرام زيوليت لكل بقرة في اليوم. استمرت التجربة لمدة شهرين. تم تغذية الأبقار علي البروتين والدهن ماللالثقرة تناولت الماف مع إضافة والروث وجفت علي ٢٢٢ مع محمول اللبن وتقدير النسب المئوية البروتين والدهن الثالثة تناولت العلف مع إخران أيوليت لكل بقرة في اليوم. استمرت التجربة لمدة ٢٠ جرام زيوليت الكل بقرة في اليوم. استمرت التجربة لما عن اللبن وتقدير النسب المئوية البروتين والدهن والدهن والدهن والدهن والدهن والدهن والدهن والدي والدون وجفت علي ٢٠ درجة مئوية لمدة ٢٢ ساعة وتم اخذ عينات ممثلة منها لإجراء التحليل الكماوي. وكانت أهم النتائج المتحصل عليها كالتالي: إضافة الزيوليت بمعدل ١٠ جرام/ بقرة/ يوم أدت إلي زيادة معنوية في معامل هضم المادة العضوية والبروتين الكلو وكذا كأيضا زيادة معنوية في مجموع الغراوية معامل البن ومعمل البن وتردي الكلي وزلدة معنوية في محصول البروتين الكلو والروتين في الكلو والدونين الكلو ووليت ما معام والبروتين والدهن والدهن والكنوز. تم معامل هضم المادهم والكموز. تما ال